

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

JEROME HAMILTON,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 94-336-KAJ
	)	
FAITH LEVY, PAMELA MINOR,	)	
WILLIAM QUEENER, FRANCES	)	
LEWIS, JACK W. STEPHENSON,	)	
DEBORAH L. GRAIG, DENNIS LOEBE,	)	
ELDORA C. TILLERY, JERRY BORGE,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	


**JUDGMENT**

This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury rendered its verdict on March 17, 2005. A copy of the verdict sheet is attached.

Based on the jury's verdict,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED and ADJUDGED that judgment is entered pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58 in favor of all the defendants and against the plaintiff on the claims in plaintiff's second amended complaint.

Wilmington, Delaware  
Dated: April 5, 2005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DEPUTY CLERK

*Read In Open Court 3/17/05*

(M10)

(451)

**REDACTED**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

JEROME HAMILTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

C.A. No. 94-336-KAJ

FAITH LEVY, PAMELA MINOR,  
WILLIAM QUEENER, FRANCES  
LEWIS, JACK W. STEPHENSON,  
DEBORAH L. CRAIG, DENNIS LOEBE,  
ELDORA C. TILLERY, and JERRY  
BORGE,

Defendants.

**VERDICT FORM**

1. Has plaintiff Jerome Hamilton proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any defendant listed below violated his constitutional rights? For each defendant, mark "YES" or "NO."

	YES	NO
Faith Levy	_____	<u>X</u>
Pamela Minor	_____	<u>X</u>
William Queener	_____	<u>X</u>

Frances Lewis	_____	<u>X</u>
Jack Stephenson	_____	<u>X</u>
Deborah Craig	_____	<u>X</u>
Dennis Loebe	_____	<u>X</u>
Eldora Tillery	_____	<u>X</u>
Jerry Borge	_____	<u>X</u>

Marking "YES" is a finding for the plaintiff Jerome Hamilton. Marking "NO" is a finding for the defendant.

**Proceed to Question Number 2**

2. If a defendant listed below has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that a reasonable correctional employee in the same circumstances could have believed that his or her conduct was lawful in light of the information you find that they possessed at the time, please mark "YES." If a defendant listed below has not proven by a preponderance of the evidence that a reasonable correctional employee in the same circumstances could have believed that his or her conduct was lawful in light of the information you find that they possessed at the time, please mark "NO."

	YES	NO
Faith Leavy	_____	_____
Pamela Minor	_____	_____
William Queener	_____	_____
Frances Lewis	_____	_____
Jack Stephenson	_____	_____
Deborah Craig	_____	_____
Dennis Loebe	_____	_____
Eldora Tillery	_____	_____
Jerry Borge	_____	_____

Marking "YES" is a finding for the defendant. Marking "NO" is a finding for plaintiff Jerome Hamilton.

**Proceed to Question Number 3**

3. If you found in favor of plaintiff Jerome Hamilton as to any defendant in both Question 1 **and** Question 2, what compensatory damages do you find that Mr. Hamilton has proven by a preponderance of the evidence?

Faith Leavy	\$ _____
Pamela Minor	\$ _____
William Queener	\$ _____
Frances Lewis	\$ _____
Jack Stephenson	\$ _____
Deborah Craig	\$ _____
Dennis Loebe	\$ _____
Eldora Tillery	\$ _____
Jerry Borge	\$ _____

4. If you found in favor of plaintiff Jerome Hamilton as to any defendant in both Question 1 and Question 2, what punitive damages do you find that Mr. Hamilton has proven by a preponderance of the evidence?

Faith Leavy	\$ _____
Pamela Minor	\$ _____
William Queener	\$ _____
Frances Lewis	\$ _____
Jack Stephenson	\$ _____
Deborah Craig	\$ _____

Dennis Losbe \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Elidora Tillery \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Jerry Borge \$ \_\_\_\_\_

March 17, 2005

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jury Foreperson